United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

)

Eastern District of North Carolina

	v.)	Cara Na	5:15-CR-368-1BO
	JASON WOODLIEF)	Case No.	3.13 GK 300 1BG
	Defendant)		
	DETENTION ORDER	R PENDING T	RIAL
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail R that the defendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 181	U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
	Part I—Findi	ngs of Fact	
□ (1) ٦	The defendant is charged with an offense described in	18 U.S.C. § 31	42(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal			
	jurisdiction had existed - that is		
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 for which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4)or an	offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)
	\square an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life in	nprisonment.
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term o	of ten years or n	nore is prescribed in
			.*
	a felony committed after the defendant had bee described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or		•
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but in	nvolves:	
	☐ a minor victim		
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destru	ctive device or	any other dangerous weapon
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250)	
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.		
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since th	e □ date of	conviction ☐ the defendant's release
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).		
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1) , (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.		
	Alternative F	indings (A)	
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	nt has committe	ed an offense
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years	or more is pres	cribed in .
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	_	
	• •		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es the defendant's appearance and the safety of the c	tablished by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure ommunity.
	Alternative	Findings (B)
L (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	appear.
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will end	anger the safety of another person or the community.
ī		he Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted a	
✓ Bas		that on hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that can appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.
	the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or conure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another	nbination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably
a55	The nature of the charges	The lack of stable employment
	The apparent strength of the government's case	The lack of a suitable custodian
	The indication of substance abuse	The fact that the charges arose while on state probation
	The defendant's criminal history	The history of probation revocations
	Other:	The filetery of probation revocations
	•	
	Part III—Directions	Regarding Detention
in a correpending a order of U	ections facility separate, to the extent practicable, frappeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonab	orney General or a designated representative for confinement om persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody le opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On ne Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility a court appearance.
Date: Jui	ne 10, 2016	Robert T Numbers II. Judge's signature

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title